

Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Time delays

Lester Ingber

Lester Ingber Research

Ashland Oregon USA

ingber@ingber.com, ingber@alumni.caltech.edu

<http://www.ingber.com/>

ABSTRACT: In cases where there may be neuronal processing that take on the order of tenths of a second, and where specific neuronal circuitries are not found, then it may be reasonable to look to processes involving patterns or "representations" of information in patterns of minicolumnar activity.

KEYWORDS: short term memory; nonlinear; statistical

Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions (SMNI)

In the paper (Nijhawan, 2008), Romi Nijhawan (RN) presents strong arguments, supported by experiments, that visual compensation often is accomplished by specific neuronal circuitry within the visual sensory system. He also suggests that other sensory and motor systems similarly may accomplish compensations within their own regions.

In cases where there may be neuronal processing that take on the order of tenths of a second, and where specific neuronal circuitries are not found, then it may be reasonable to look to processes involving patterns of information in patterns of minicolumnar activity.

The present state of experimental knowledge explains why terms used frequently in RN -- e.g., "representation", "late mechanisms", "extrapolation mechanisms", "internal models" -- are not detailed, i.e., as to whether they are due to neuronal activity of specific circuitry, or to patterns of masses of neuronal firings, or some interplay between these two kinds of activity within or across cortical regions of sensory, motor and associative cortex. Such models must be able to be experimentally tested and realized, commensurate with the detail given to known specific neuronal pathways and circuitries (Ingber, 1995a; Ingber, 1996a; Ingber, 2000b). In this context, RN also highlights in his conclusion that "prediction may be a multilevel multimodal phenomenon."

Analysis of time delays in cortical processing that include "representations" of information, as discussed in RN in the context of interactions with specific neuronal mechanisms, should take into account models of these representations. A model of statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions (SMNI) calculates such delays, and also permits inclusion and description of interactions with other neural mechanisms. This is relevant in the context of RN since some specific time delays can be obtained from such models.

In SMNI, columnar activity and their time spans of information processing, and how interactions are developed with specific neuronal circuitries, were developed in a score of papers dating back to the early 1980's, using methods of mathematical physics developed for nonlinear multivariate nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. Instead of presenting math here, some description and references will suffice to convey the techniques.

SMNI was the first physical phenomenon to take advantage of new methods of mathematical physics (Graham, 1978; Langouche *et al*, 1979; Langouche *et al*, 1982), and it is not surprising that at first the math itself was denied as even existing by non-experts in these mathematical disciplines. However, tested contributions to SMNI, as well as to other disciplines, have affirmed the math, e.g., in physics (Ingber, 1986), nonlinear systems (Ingber, Srinivasan & Nunez, 1996), finance (Ingber, 1990; Ingber & Wilson, 1999; Ingber, 2000a), and combat analysis (Ingber, Fujio & Wehner, 1991; Ingber & Sworder, 1991). Some powerful computational algorithms had to be developed to process this nonlinear stochastic calculus. These algorithms include methods of Very Fast Simulated Annealing (VFSA) (Ingber, 1989), which has evolved into Adaptive Simulated Annealing (ASA) (Ingber, 1993), and numerical methods of path integration developed to process the time evolution of nonlinear multiplicative-noise probability distributions, including PATHINT (Ingber, Fujio & Wehner, 1991; Ingber, 2000a) and PATHTREE (Ingber, Chen *et al*, 2001). These methods of path integration also made it possible to develop statistical indicators, canonical momenta indicators (CMI), which were applied to several disciplines, including SMNI descriptions of short-term memory (STM) (Ingber, 1994; Ingber & Nunez, 1995) and fits to electroencephalography (EEG) data (Ingber, 1997; Ingber, 1998). Some description of SMNI below argues for the application of SMNI to the RN.

SMNI has developed appropriate conditional probability distributions at several levels (Ingber, 1981; Ingber, 1982; Ingber, 1983). Synaptic inter-neuronal interactions are described by the mean and variance of distributions of intraneuronal quanta of chemical transmissions across synaptic gaps. Mesocolumnar

averaged excitatory and inhibitory neuronal firings are developed, detailing convergence and divergence of minicolumnar and macrocolumnar interactions. Macroscopic regions of neocortex are developed from many mesocolumnar domains, and SMNI details how regions may be coupled by long-ranged interactions.

SMNI calculates stability and duration of auditory and visual STM (Ingber, 1982; Ingber, 1983; Ingber, 1984; Ingber, 1985; Ingber, 1994; Ingber & Nunez, 1995) as tenths of a second, with capacities of 7 ± 2 (Miller, 1956; Ericsson & Chase, 1982) and 4 ± 2 (Zhang & Simon, 1985) resp., with times of processing information via non-myelinated fibers across minicolumns consistent with time delays associated with internal visual rotations of images. SMNI also explains the primacy versus recency rule of STM (Ingber, 1995b), and Hick's law of linearity of reaction time with STM information (Hick, 1952; Jensen, 1987; Ingber, 1999). These time delays are within the same time scales as information processing across regions of cortex via myelinated fibers, thereby permitting synchrony of local and global information within this relatively coarse time resolution. A reasonable correlation was made between SMNI and a large set of EEG data, utilizing specific neuronal circuitry across regions within which processing of events was described by macrocolumnar activity (Ingber, 1996b; Ingber, 1997; Ingber, 1998; Ingber, 2000b). Since the first SMNI papers, more experience has been gained with larger sets of variables, and it is possible to include laminar circuitry in SMNI. Better correlations can be expected when synchronous multiple imaging is performed (Ingber, 2006). Similar calculations could be performed within any cortical region, e.g., a sensory or motor region.

As pointed out by RN, just because specific neural circuitries may explain "prediction" in time scales much less than a tenth of second, for some phenomena such as continuous stimuli, "late mechanisms" such as described by SMNI may also contribute important prediction capabilities after the onset of such stimuli and after an initial period permitting the formation of columnar patterns of activity. Other sets of experiments, e.g., gaze cascades, also highlight the importance of "late mechanisms" (Woo, 2007). The SMNI mechanisms are generic to columnar structures in cortex, and so, as RN states, such prediction processes may be expected to be present in all sensory and motor regions.

REFERENCES

- Ericsson, K.A. & Chase, W.G. (1982) Exceptional memory. *American Scientist*. 70, 607-615.
- Graham, R. (1978) Path-integral methods in nonequilibrium thermodynamics and statistics, In: *Stochastic Processes in Nonequilibrium Systems*, ed. L. Garrido, P. Seglar & P.J. Shepherd. Springer, 82-138.
- Hick, W. (1952) On the rate of gains of information. *Quarterly Journal Experimental Psychology*. 34(4), 1-33.
- Ingber, L. (1981) Towards a unified brain theory. *Journal Social Biological Structures*. 4, 211-224.
- Ingber, L. (1982) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions. I. Basic formulation. *Physica D*. 5, 83-107. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni82_basic.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1983) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions. Dynamics of synaptic modification. *Physical Review A*. 28, 395-416. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni83_dynamics.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1984) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions. Derivation of short-term-memory capacity. *Physical Review A*. 29, 3346-3358. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni84_stm.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1985) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Stability and duration of the 7 ± 2 rule of short-term-memory capacity. *Physical Review A*. 31, 1183-1186. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni85_stm.pdf]

- Ingber, L. (1986) Riemannian contributions to short-ranged velocity-dependent nucleon-nucleon interactions. *Physical Review D*. 33, 3781-3784. [URL http://www.ingber.com/nuclear86_riemann.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1989) Very fast simulated re-annealing. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 12(8), 967-973. [URL http://www.ingber.com/asa89_vfsr.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1990) Statistical mechanical aids to calculating term structure models. *Physical Review A*. 42(12), 7057-7064. [URL http://www.ingber.com/markets90_interest.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1993) Adaptive Simulated Annealing (ASA). Global optimization C-code. Caltech Alumni Association. [URL <http://www.ingber.com/#ASA-CODE>]
- Ingber, L. (1994) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Path-integral evolution of short-term memory. *Physical Review E*. 49(5B), 4652-4664. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni94_stm.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1995a) Multiple scales of brain-mind interaction. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 18(2), 360-362. [Invited commentary on Images of Mind, by M.I. Posner and M.E. Raichle. URL http://www.ingber.com/smni95_images.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1995b) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Constraints on 40 Hz models of short-term memory. *Physical Review E*. 52(4), 4561-4563. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni95_stm40hz.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1996a) Nonlinear nonequilibrium nonquantum nonchaotic statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 19(2), 300-301. [Invited commentary on Dynamics of the brain at global and microscopic scales: Neural networks and the EEG, by J.J. Wright and D.T.J. Liley. URL http://www.ingber.com/smni96_nonlinear.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1996b) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Multiple scales of EEG, In: *Frontier Science in EEG: Continuous Waveform Analysis (Electroencephalography Clinical Neurophysiology Suppl. 45)*, ed. R.M. Dasheiff & D.J. Vincent. Elsevier, 79-112. [Invited talk to Frontier Science in EEG Symposium, New Orleans, 9 Oct 1993. ISBN 0-444-82429-4. URL http://www.ingber.com/smni96_eeg.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1997) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Applications of canonical momenta indicators to electroencephalography. *Physical Review E*. 55(4), 4578-4593. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni97_cmi.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1998) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Training and testing canonical momenta indicators of EEG. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 27(3), 33-64. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni98_cmi_test.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (1999) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Reaction time correlates of the g factor. *Psychology*. 10(068). [Invited commentary on The g Factor: The Science of Mental Ability by Arthur Jensen. URL http://www.ingber.com/smni99_g_factor.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (2000a) High-resolution path-integral development of financial options. *Physica A*. 283(3-4), 529-558. [URL http://www.ingber.com/markets00_highres.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (2000b) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: EEG eigenfunctions of short-term memory. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 23(3), 403-405. [Invited commentary on Toward a Quantitative Description of Large-Scale Neocortical Dynamic Function and EEG, by P.L. Nunez. URL http://www.ingber.com/smni00_eeg_stm.pdf]
- Ingber, L. (2006) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: Portfolio of physiological indicators. Report 2006:PPI. Lester Ingber Research. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni06_ppi.pdf]

- Ingber, L., Chen, C., Mondescu, R.P., Muzzall, D. & Renedo, M. (2001) Probability tree algorithm for general diffusion processes. *Physical Review E*. 64(5), 056702-056707. [URL http://www.ingber.com/path01_pathtree.pdf]
- Ingber, L., Fujio, H. & Wehner, M.F. (1991) Mathematical comparison of combat computer models to exercise data. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 15(1), 65-90. [URL http://www.ingber.com/combat91_data.pdf]
- Ingber, L. & Nunez, P.L. (1995) Statistical mechanics of neocortical interactions: High resolution path-integral calculation of short-term memory. *Physical Review E*. 51(5), 5074-5083. [URL http://www.ingber.com/smni95_stm.pdf]
- Ingber, L., Srinivasan, R. & Nunez, P.L. (1996) Path-integral evolution of chaos embedded in noise: Duffing neocortical analog. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 23(3), 43-53. [URL http://www.ingber.com/path96_duffing.pdf]
- Ingber, L. & Sworder, D.D. (1991) Statistical mechanics of combat with human factors. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 15(11), 99-127. [URL http://www.ingber.com/combat91_human.pdf]
- Ingber, L. & Wilson, J.K. (1999) Volatility of volatility of financial markets. *Mathematical Computer Modelling*. 29(5), 39-57. [URL http://www.ingber.com/markets99_vol.pdf]
- Jensen, A. (1987) Individual differences in the Hick paradigm, In: *Speed of Information-Processing and Intelligence*, ed. P.A. Vernon. Ablex, 101-175.
- Langouche, F., Roekaerts, D. & Tirapegui, E. (1979) Discretization problems of functional integrals in phase space. *Physical Review D*. 20, 419-432.
- Langouche, F., Roekaerts, D. & Tirapegui, E. (1982) *Functional Integration and Semiclassical Expansions*. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Miller, G.A. (1956) The magical number seven, plus or minus two. *Psychology Review*. 63, 81-97.
- Nijhawan, R. (2008) Visual prediction: Psychophysics and neurophysiology of compensation for time delays. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. , (to be published). [URL http://www.bbsonline.org/Preprints/Nijhawan-04192006/Nijhawan-04192006_rev.pdf]
- Woo, M. (2007) Knowing what you like. *Engineering & Science*. LXX(3). [URL <http://eands.caltech.edu/articles/LXX3/shimojo-web.pdf>]
- Zhang, G. & Simon, H.A. (1985) STM capacity for Chinese words and idioms: Chunking and acoustical loop hypotheses. *Memory & Cognition*. 13, 193-201.